

The opinion in support of the decision being
entered today is not binding precedent of the Board.

51
Paper

By: Trial Section Merits Panel
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Tel: 703-308-9797
Fax: 703-305-0942

Filed: 29 March 2004

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

QUIG WANG, MITCHELL H. FINER
and XIAO-CHI JIA

Junior Party,
Application 08/333,680

v.

IMRE KOVESDI, DOUGLAS E. BROUGH,
DUNCAN L. McVEY, JOSEPH T. BRUDER
and ALENA LIZONOVA

Senior Party
Application 08/258,416

Patent Interference No. 104,825 (CAS)

FAXED

MAR 29 2004

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Before: SCHAFER, TORCZON, and SPIEGEL, Administrative Patent Judges.

SPIEGEL, Administrative Patent Judge.

JUDGMENT -- RULE 662

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.662(a), Senior party Kovesdi "abandons the contest as to current Counts 7-12 as set forth in the 'Order Redeclearing Interference' dated September 4, 2003" (Paper 91). Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Counts 7-12 (Paper 91, pp. 3-5), is awarded against senior party IMRE KOVESDI, DOUGLAS E. BROUGH, DUNCAN L. McVEY, JOSEPH T. BRUDER and ALENA LIZONOVA.

FURTHER ORDERED that senior party IMRE KOVESDI, DOUGLAS E. BROUGH, DUNCAN L. McVEY, JOSEPH T. BRUDER and ALENA LIZONOVA is not entitled to a patent containing

- (i) claims 20-21, 24-26, 52, 56-58, 68-69, 72-73, 78-79 and 84-87 (corresponding to Count 7),
 - (ii) claims 19, 36, 41-42, 89-90 and 95 (corresponding to Count 8),
 - (iii) claims 20-21, 24-26, 52-56, 68-71, 78-79, 82 and 84-87 (corresponding to Count 9),
 - (iv) claims 19, 36-40, 89-90 and 92-95 (corresponding to Count 10),
 - (v) claims 20-21, 24-26, 52-87 (corresponding to Count 11), and
 - (vi) claims 19, 36-41, 43-51, 89-90 and 92-95 (corresponding to Count 12)
- of application 08/258,416, filed June 10, 1994.¹

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this paper shall be made of record in the files of U.S. application 08/333,680 and U.S. application 08/258,416.

¹ Kovesdi claims 22-23 and 91 do not correspond to any of Counts 7 through 12 and, therefore, are not involved in the interference (Paper 91, p. 5).

FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement which has not been filed, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and 37 CFR § 1.661.

Carol A. Spiegel
CAROL A. SPIEGEL
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

cc (via fax and first-class mail):

Wang
(real party in interest
CELL GENESYS, INC.):

Steven B. Kelber, Esq.
PIPER MARBURY RUDNICK & WOLFE LLP
1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-2430
Tel: 202-861-3900
Fax: 202-223-2085
E-mail: steven.kelber@piperrudnick.com

Linda R. Judge, Esq.
CELL GENESYS, INC.
342 Lakeside Drive
Foster City, CA 94404
Tel: 650-425-4650
Fax: 650-349-7392
E-mail: linda.judge@cellgenesys.com

Kosvedi
(real party in interest
GENVEC, INC.):

John Kilyk, Jr., Esq.
Bruce M. Gagala, Esq.
Jeffrey B. Burgan, Esq.
Heather R. Kissling, Esq.
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 N. Stetson Ave.
Chicago, IL 60601
Tel: 312-616-5600
Fax: 312-616-5700
E-mail: JKilyk@leydig.com
BGagala@leydig.com
JBurgan@leydig.com
HKissling@leydig.com